Mar 02'2009 11:078M John C. Moran, Attorney, 3039209113

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 0 2 2009

Doc Code: AP.PRE.REO

PTO/SB/33 (07-06)
Approved for use through xx/xx/xx/200x. OMB 0651-00x
aid Trademark Office, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
f Information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW		Docket Number (Optional)		
		403104-A-01-US (Orbach)		
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the	Application N	umber	Filed	
United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail In an envelope addressed to "Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for	10/810,526 03/27/2004			
Patents, P.O. Box/1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)]				
on than commen	First Named Inventor			
Signature 63/02/3007	Julian James Orbach			
· · · · ·	Art Unit	E	aminer	
Typed or printed name John C. Moran	2617	Г	oan, Kiet M.	
name	L			
Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this request.				
This request is being filed with a notice of appeal.				
The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s). Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided.				
I am the	. 1			
	hez	w G. M	druku -	
applicant/inventor.	100	Si	gnature	
assignee of record of the entire interest.		7-b- 0 V		
See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96)		John C. Mor Typedo	printed name	
X attorney or agent of record.				
Registration number 30,782		303-450-99		
d d		Teleph	one number	
attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34.	_	3/62/2	059	
Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34	-	2100/0	Date	
NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required. Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below:				
*Total of forms are submitted.				
4				

This collection of information is required by \$5.0.0 \$\frac{1}{2}\$. The information is required to bothed or retain a brond by the public moths in the required to bothed or retain a brond by the public moths in the required in the public moths and the required to bothed or required to bothed or required to the required to required to required to required the required to required the required to required the first managing applicant for required to the buffer of the required to required the required to required the required to required the required to require the required to required to require the required to requi

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Best Available Copy

Mar 02 2009 11:08AM John C. Moran, Attorney,

3039209113

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

1

MAR 0 2 2009

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Patent Application

Inventor

Serial No. 10/652,914

Julian James Orbach

Atty. Docket No.

403104-A-01-US (Orbach)

Serial No. 10/810,526 Filing Date 03/27/2004

MAIL STOP AF

4

Group Art Unit 2617

Examiner Doan, Kiet M.

Title Method and Apparatus For Incoming Call Pause Notification

1

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW

SIR:

Applicant respectfully request that a panel of Examiners formally review the legal and factual basis of the rejections in the Final Office Action mailed 12/02/2008 related to the above-identified patent application. The instant request is being filed with a Notice of Appeal in compliance with 37 §CFR 41.31. Also, the instant request is being filed prior to the filing of an appeal brief.

Applicant respectfully asserts that the above-noted rejections are factually deficient, and requests the application be allowed on the existing claims, or alternatively, that a prosecution on the merits be reopened. If the application is not allowed on the existing claims, then proposed changes which the review panel may have for Applicant which, if accepted, may result in an indication of allowability for the contested claims, would be appreciated.

GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED

The grounds of ejection to be reviewed are whether claims 12-22, 34-44, 56, 57, and 60, are patentable under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) over U.S. Patent Application

I hamby certify that this correspondence	is being fassimile transmitted to Commissioner for Patents, at fax No. 571-273-8300, on
	- 11 /
03/02/2009	John G. Moran
03/02/2002	
Cour Dales Found	Signature
'Date Being Faxed	
	,

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX GENTER

3039209113

Mar 02 2009 11:08AM John C. Moran, Attorney,

MAR 0 2 2009

Serial No. 10/652,914

Publication No. 2004/0198461 of D.J. Coombes (hereafter referred to as Coombes).

ARGUMENT

Rejection of Claims 12-22 and 60 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e)

Claim 12 recites in part "answering the incoming call by the wireless handset in response to a predefined amount of movement in a physical location of the wireless handset as detected by the wireless handset when the telecommunication terminal is not engaged in another call with the predefined amount of movement occurring after the incoming call is received by the wireless handset". Claim 12 is very clear that the incoming call is only answer upon a predefined amount of movement occurring after the incoming call is received. Thus, claim 12 is clear that the step of answering is not performed simply because the handset is in a particular location when the incoming call is received. Coombes does not disclose or suggest the answering of an incoming call upon a predefined amount of movement in the physical location of the wireless handset being detected by the wireless handset after the incoming call is received.

The Final Office Action of 12/02/2008 stated "The concepts of 'answering the incoming call by the wireless handset in response to a predefined amount of movement in a physical location of the wireless handset as detected by the wireless handset when the telecommunication terminal is not engaged in another call with the predefined amount of movement occurring after the incoming call is received by the wireless handset'. Croombes is clearly teach the concepts of receiving/detected the incomina call when the mobile hand set of the user that located in a inconvenient or inappropriate location to answer, that is, after receiving the incoming call at a physical location (meeting place, church, theater) the mobile handset automatic answer the call wherein transmit a pre-recording greeting message including the amount of waiting time or some other appropriate phrase that the user desires see paragraphs [0008], [0011-0012]. [0016], Fig. 2 illustrate and describe)". Although the Final Office Action claims that the "with the predefined amount of movement occurring after the incoming call is received by the wireless set" is disclosed in the opening sentence of the statement, the second sentence of the statement bives no basis for this assertion nor even claims that such an assertion can be made. The second statement merely sets forth the idea that a prerecorded message will be sent if the mobile handset of the user is located in an inconvenient or in the appropriate location to answer the incoming call. There is no

Serial No. 10/652,914

discussion that a predefined amount of movement occurs after receipt of the incoming call in the second statement. Nor, do the paragraphs cited by the Final Office Action disclose the predefined amount of movement occurring after receipt of the incoming call.

Applicant respectfully submits that claim 12 is patentable under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) In view of the cited art. Dependent claims 13-22 and 60 are directly or indirectly dependent on claim 12 and are patentable for at least the same reasons as set forth with respect to claim 12.

Rejection of Claims 34-44, 56, and 57 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e)

Applicant respectfully submits that claims 34-44 are patentable under 35 U.S.C. §102 (e) for the same reasons as claims 12-22.

Further, applicant respectfully submits that claims 56 and 57 are patentable under 35 U.S.C. §102 (e) for the same reasons as claims 12 and 19.

Summary

For the foregoing reasons, applicant respectfully request that the present application be allowed, or attematively, that prosecution on the merits be reopened.

Respectfully,

Julian James Orbach

John C. Moran

Patent Attorney Reg. No. 30,782 303-450-9926

Date: 03/02/2009

John C. Moran, Attorney, P.C.

Thornton, CO 80233